Nipping Cue Reactivity in the Bud: Baclofen Prevents Limbic Activation Elicited by Subliminal Drug Cues
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Relapse is a widely recognized and difficult to treat feature of the addictions. Substantial evidence implicates cue-triggered activation of the mesolimbic dopamine system as an important contributing factor. Even drug cues presented outside of conscious awareness (i.e., subliminally) produce robust activation within this circuitry, indicating the sensitivity and vulnerability of the brain to potentially problematic reward signals. Because pharmacological agents that prevent these early cue-induced responses could play an important role in relapse prevention, we examined whether baclofen—a GABAB receptor agonist that reduces mesolimbic dopamine release and conditioned drug responses in laboratory animals—could inhibit mesolimbic activation elicited by subliminal cocaine cues in cocaine-dependent individuals. Twenty cocaine-dependent participants were randomized to receive baclofen (60 mg/d; 20 mg t.i.d.) or placebo. Event-related BOLD fMRI and a backward-masking paradigm were used to examine the effects of baclofen on subliminal cocaine (vs neutral) cues. Sexual and aversive cues were included to examine specificity. We observed that baclofen-treated participants displayed significantly less activation in response to subliminal cocaine (vs neutral) cues, but not sexual or aversive (vs neutral) cues, than placebo-treated participants in a large interconnected bilateral cluster spanning the ventral striatum, ventral pallidum, amygdala, midbrain, and orbitofrontal cortex (voxel threshold p < 0.005; cluster corrected at p < 0.05). These results suggest that baclofen may inhibit the earliest type of drug cue-induced motivational processing—that which occurs outside of awareness—before it evolves into a less manageable state.
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Introduction

 Drugs of abuse and conditioned drug cues (e.g., stimuli repeatedly associated with prior drug use/delivery) reliably elicit neural activation (see meta-analyses; Chase et al., 2011; Kühn and Gallinat, 2011) and dopamine (DA) release (Volkow et al., 2006; Wolk et al., 2006; Boileau et al., 2007; Fotros et al., 2013) in dorsal striatal and mesolimbic brain regions, including the ventral striatum (VS) and amygdala (AMY). In laboratory animals, these cue-induced neurochemical responses and their downstream effects (e.g., DA receptor activation) are required for the robust and persistent drug-seeking and drug-taking behaviors that follow (See et al., 2001; Crombag et al., 2002). In humans, such cue-induced responses are often associated with self-reported drug desire (Childress et al., 1999; Boileau et al., 2007; Fotros et al., 2013) and are therefore well positioned as prime targets for relapse prevention.

Mesolimbic activation can even occur to drug cues presented entirely outside of awareness. Using fast event-related BOLD fMRI and a backward-masking paradigm, our laboratory demonstrated that subliminally presented drug cues increased neural activity in the VS, AMY, ventral pallidum (VP), and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) of drug-addicted individuals (Childress et al., 2008; Wetherill et al., 2014). Pharmacological agents that prevent these early responses to drug cues could play an important role in the treatment of addiction—potentially preventing drug cue-induced motivation from developing into a larger and more difficult to manage state. However, the ability of medications to inhibit such early brain responses has not been demonstrated.

The GABAB receptor agonist, baclofen, and other GABA-modulating agents attenuate drug- and/or drug cue-induced mesolimbic neural activation (Lhuillier et al., 2007), DA release (Gerasimov et al., 2001; Fadda et al., 2003), and drug-seeking (Roberts et al., 1996; Campbell et al., 1999; Di Ciano and Everitt, 2003) in laboratory animals. Although the findings of clinical studies have been mixed, baclofen has been found to reduce...
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Participants

Participants were 23 treatment-seeking, cocaine-dependent men, between 18 and 55 years of age, who met DSM-IV criteria for cocaine dependence, described smoking as their primary route of cocaine/crack administration, reported using cocaine on at least 8 of the 30 d before screening, and were available for a 7- to 10-d inpatient stay (data from 7 participants were included in a prior report, Childress et al., 2008). No participants reported current use of a medication affecting central dopaminergic neurotransmission or a history of psychosis, seizures, or organic brain syndrome unrelated to cocaine use, and no participants were physically dependent on alcohol or sedative hypnotics. Exclusion criteria included clinically significant cardiovascular, hematologic, hepatic, renal, neurological, or endocrine abnormalities; history of head trauma or injury; and contraindications for MRI. Participants were recruited through advertisements in local media and flyers posted throughout the Philadelphia metropolitan area. All participants provided written informed consent and underwent a psychiatric and medical screening before enrollment. This study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board.

Study design

Following enrollment, participants were admitted to a supervised drug-free residential setting and were randomized to receive baclofen (60 mg/d; 20 mg t.i.d.; n = 11) or placebo (n = 12) using a double-blind design (Murty Pharmaceuticals). The dose of baclofen was gradually titrated up to 60 mg between treatment days 1 and 6. On treatment days 7–9, while taking the full dose of study medication, participants completed the backward-masking paradigm as the first task in a BOLD fMRI scanning session. Other brain and behavioral measures were subsequently acquired and will be the focus of other reports.

Interviews and questionnaires

The Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview was used to determine whether subjects met DSM-IV criteria for cocaine dependence or other Axis I psychiatric disorders (Sheehan et al., 1998). Drug and alcohol use history was assessed with the Addiction Severity Index (McLellan et al., 1992). General intelligence (IQ) was estimated using the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (Wechsler, 1999).

fMRI backward-masked cue task and stimuli

We used a backward-masking paradigm with a fast event-related fMRI design to measure neural responses to subliminally presented target stimuli, as described in detail previously (Childress et al., 2008). A particular strength of this paradigm is that stimuli can be presented without the potential confounds (e.g., shame, embarrassment, regret) that can occur to consciously presented stimuli. Briefly, this passive viewing task consisted of 192 trials and lasted ~8.5 min. Each trial lasted 2000 ms and consisted of the display of the following visual stimuli in sequence: 500 ms fixation cross, 33 ms target stimulus, 467 ms masking stimulus; and 1000 ms fixation cross (Fig. 1). Thus, the stimulus-onset-asynchrony (SOA) between target and mask was 33 ms. This design was chosen because the presentation of a longer masking stimulus immediately after a very brief target stimulus (e.g., 20–33 ms), with an SOA <40 ms, has been demonstrated to effectively prevent conscious visual processing of emotional targets (Esteves and Ohman, 1993; Whalen et al., 1998). Importantly, we previously demonstrated, using a forced choice categorization task, that these backward-masking procedures prevent the conscious perception of these same target stimuli in cocaine-dependent men (Childress et al., 2008). Target stimuli consisted of cocaine (use and preparation), sexual (heterosexual erotic scenes), aversive (disfigured/mutilated bodies), and neutral (outdoor/office objects) images (24 images per category; for details, see Childress et al., 2008), and were presented quasi-randomly, each without replacement until all stimuli were shown (96 trials). Masking stimuli consisted of 48 additional neutral images and were presented randomly without replacement until all stimuli were shown and were then presented randomly again. This entire process was immediately repeated in a second 96-trial cycle. To optimize the efficiency of the event-related design, 48 null stimuli (i.e., fixation crosses) of 2000 ms duration were quasi-randomly displayed between trials in each cycle, as guided by OptSeq (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/optseq).

fMRI acquisition

On the day of the BOLD scan, participants provided a urine sample to verify a cocaine-free state, and adverse events were assessed. Imaging data were acquired using a 3T whole-body scanner (Siemens AG) equipped with a standard 8-channel receive-only array head coil, at the Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania. After participants were positioned in the scanner, a 5 min high-resolution three-dimensional T1-weighted MPRAGE structural scan [repetition time (TR) 1620 ms; echo time (TE) 3.87 ms; 160 slices; slice thickness 1 mm; matrix 192 × 256; flip angle 15°] was acquired, which was used for coregistration and normalization. Functional images were acquired with a T2*-weighted gradient-echo EPI sequence with the following parameters: TR 2000 ms; TE 30 ms; 33 interleaved slices; slice thickness 3 mm without any gap between adjacent slices; FOV 192 mm; matrix 64 × 64; flip angle 80°.

Data analysis

Two subjects withdrew from the study before participating in the fMRI session and incomplete fMRI data were acquired on one subject due to technical difficulties. Thus, 20 participants (n = 10 baclofen; n = 10 placebo) contributed utilizable fMRI data and were included in analysis. Group differences in age, years of education, estimated IQ, and prior drug use were assessed with independent-samples t tests using SPSS (Version 19).
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Table 1. Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (n = 10)</th>
<th>Baclofen (n = 10)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic demographics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) [mean (SEM)]</td>
<td>40.20 (1.93)</td>
<td>42.00 (1.26)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years) [mean (SEM)]</td>
<td>12.80 (0.35)</td>
<td>12.75 (0.86)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated IQ (WASI) [mean (SEM)]</td>
<td>98.40 (2.01)</td>
<td>92.00 (6.19)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (Number)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior drug use [mean (SEM)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine use (years)</td>
<td>17.10 (1.66)</td>
<td>17.20 (1.31)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine use (days in past 30)</td>
<td>17.20 (1.26)</td>
<td>20.20 (2.69)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use (years)</td>
<td>12.40 (1.23)</td>
<td>11.40 (3.61)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use (days in past 30)</td>
<td>6.70 (2.99)</td>
<td>8.70 (3.75)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana use (years)</td>
<td>10.9 (2.45)</td>
<td>7.7 (3.28)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana use (days in past 30)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.82)</td>
<td>2.9 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Imaging results

Treatment and cue condition interact to mediate neural activation

The 2 × 3 ANOVA revealed a significant interaction between treatment group (baclofen vs placebo) and cue condition (cocaine vs neutral, sex vs neutral, and aversive vs neutral) on neural activation (F(1, 54) = 4.02; p < 0.05). This interaction effect was reflected in a large interconnected cluster of voxels that encompassed all our regions of a priori interest (VS, VP, AMY, OFC, and midbrain).

Baclofen blunts the mesolimbic response to subliminal cocaine cues

The second-level planned t tests demonstrated that neural activation in response to subliminal cocaine (vs neutral) cues was significantly lower in baclofen-treated than placebo-treated participants in each of our a priori mesolimbic regions (t(18) = 2.87; voxel threshold p < 0.005, cluster corrected at p < 0.05). This effect was visualized as an interconnected cluster of 2576 contiguous voxels bilaterally spanning the VS, VP, AMY, OFC, and midbrain (Fig. 2A). Peak voxels for each a priori and non-a priori region within this cluster are reported in Table 2. There were no brain regions in which the response to cocaine cues was greater in baclofen-treated than placebo-treated participants. Additionally, no differences were found between baclofen- and placebo-treated participants in the response to either sexual or aversive (vs neutral) cues in any brain regions at the cluster-corrected threshold used for these t tests, indicating the specificity of baclofen’s effects on the neural response to cocaine cues.

Because previous reports have indicated that baclofen might have smaller effects on the response to non-drug, relative to drug, reinforcers (Roberts et al., 1996), we further examined the response to sexual and aversive (vs neutral) cues at two additional, more relaxed, thresholds (i.e., p < 0.005 and p < 0.01), each with a minimum extent of 10 contiguous voxels, uncorrected) to examine baclofen’s “degree of specificity.” The more stringent of these two thresholds was chosen since it provides an acceptable balance between type I and type II error rates in fMRI data (Lieberman and Cunningham, 2009). The less stringent threshold reflects our focus on 5 a priori regions of interest (p < 0.05/5 tests = p < 0.01). At p < 0.005, baclofen-treated, relative to placebo-treated, participants had lower responses to sexual cues in the midbrain (peak coordinate: 6, −20, −18; t = −3.45) and the ventral pallidum (peak coordinate: −16, −4, −4; t = −4.03). At p < 0.01, responses were additionally reduced in the ventral striatum (peak coordinate: −12, 2, −8; t = −2.68) and orbital-frontal cortex (peak coordinate: 40, 20, −20; t = −2.78) of baclofen-treated participants. For aversive cues, at p < 0.005, there were still no differences in the neural response between baclofen- and placebo-treated groups. However, at the most relaxed threshold of p < 0.01, baclofen-treated participants had lower responses to aversive cues in the midbrain (peak coordinate: −4, −16, −16; t = −2.82).

Cocaine, sexual, and aversive cues elicit mesolimbic activation

As a basic confirmation that our cues elicited activation in our brain regions of interest, we examined the neural response to cocaine, sexual, and aversive cues (vs neutral cues) in the placebo group. For these illustrative single-group analyses, we used the relaxed threshold of p < 0.01, k > 10, uncorrected. Cocaine cues induced activation in the bilateral VS (peak coordinate left: −18, 6, −14; t = 4.56; peak coordinate right: 14, 2, −14; t = 4.65), VP (peak coordinate left: −26, −14, −6; t = 4.02; peak coordinate right: 12, 0, −6; t = 4.67), AMY (peak coordinate left: −18, 0, −18; t = 3.18; peak coordinate right: 16, 0, −16; t = 4.01), OFC

Results

Demographic and clinical results

Basic demographic and substance use characteristics are presented in Table 1. There were no differences between groups in age, years of education, estimated IQ, race, or prior cocaine, alcohol, or marijuana use. The majority of participants reported no adverse events on BOLD scan day. Of the participants who did, the most common adverse event reported was drowsiness (placebo, n = 2; baclofen, n = 1). Scan-day urine samples from all participants were negative for recent cocaine use.

Image preprocessing. fMRI data were preprocessed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK) in the Matlab (www.mathworks.com) environment. Functional images from each participant were slice-time corrected and realigned to correct for within-volume movement. Significant principle motion components derived from singular vector decomposition were removed and data were then filtered, spatially smoothed using a 9 mm3 full-width half-maximum Gaussian kernel, and normalized to standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space.

Statistical analysis. All statistical imaging analyses were performed in standard space using SPM8. For each subject, a general linear model using a canonical hemodynamic response basis function with temporal and dispersion derivatives was used to model the regressors of interest, creating three first-level contrasts (cocaine vs neutral, sex vs neutral, and aversive vs neutral). A 2 × 3 ANOVA was used to examine the effects of treatment group (baclofen vs placebo) and the three cue conditions (cocaine vs neutral, sex vs neutral, and aversive vs neutral) on neural activation. Three planned second-level t tests were then used to compare the effects of baclofen vs placebo on each cue condition. To control for Type 1 error in these second-level analyses, we selected a multiple-comparison cluster-corrected combined threshold of p < 0.05, which was determined by Monte-Carlo simulations to correspond to a voxel threshold of p < 0.005 and a cluster size of >513 voxels (cocaine vs neutral), >445 voxels (sex vs neutral), or >370 voxels (aversive vs neutral; 3dClustSim software; http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/). It is worth noting that our second-level t tests were conducted as unbiased whole-brain analyses; we did not constrain these analyses to voxels that showed a significant interaction effect in the 2 × 3 ANOVA. This analytic approach prevents the problem of inflated statistical significance (i.e., “double-dipping”) that can occur when a statistically selected subset of data is used in secondary analyses (Kriegeskorte et al., 2009). Regions of activation were identified manually using the Harvard-Oxford probabilistic anatomical atlas within the FMRIB Software Library (FSL). Although all regions of activation are reported, we limit our discussion to effects found in our regions of a priori interest.
activation in the AMY (peak coordinate: 14, -8, -18; *t* = 3.89) and midbrain (peak coordinate: 8, -10, -14; *t* = 3.62) was noted in response to aversive cues.

### Discussion

The current study tested the hypothesis that baclofen, a GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor agonist, inhibits the neural response to drug cues presented outside of awareness. We demonstrate that participants treated with baclofen display significantly less activation in a large interconnected cluster spanning the VS, VP, AMY, OFC, and midbrain in response to subliminal cocaine cues than those treated with placebo. These results replicate our previous findings that subliminal drug cues elicit mesolimbic activation (Childress et al., 2008; Wetherill et al., 2014) and suggest that baclofen may prevent this effect, providing the first demonstration that the brain response to subliminal drug cues can be pharmacologically inhibited. Further, we demonstrate no significant differences between baclofen- and placebo-treated participants in the neural response to sexual or aversive cues, indicating that the effects of baclofen on cue-induced mesolimbic activation were specific to the drug cues. Given the well accepted role of the mesolimbic reward circuit in drug reward and motivation, our findings indicate that one potential mechanism by which baclofen could have a therapeutic benefit in addiction is by “nipping in the bud” the early motivational processing in response to drug cues—preventing the earliest onset of drug cue-induced motivation before it ever reaches a state of conscious desire.

The effects of baclofen on drug cue-induced limbic activation are consistent with previously published observations in humans. For example, in preliminary work in our laboratory using positron emission tomography (PET) with O<sup>15</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O, we examined cocaine cue-induced limbic activation in a small sample of cocaine-dependent men taking baclofen (10–20 mg b.i.d. for 7–10 d; Brebner et al., 2002): these patients did not display the

### Table 2. Regions of reduced activation in response to subliminal cocaine cues in baclofen-treated compared with placebo-treated participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th><em>T</em>-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus accumbens</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral pallidum</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbitofrontal cortex</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>-4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midbrain</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>-4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>-4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pons</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantia nigra</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MNIs coordinates and *T*-values of local maxima are shown for each region within the significant cluster (voxel threshold *p* < 0.005; cluster-corrected *p* < 0.05). L, Left; R, Right.
characteristic limbic activation to visible cocaine cues noted previously in unmedicated patients (Childress et al., 1999). Our laboratory has also shown that baclofen can even modulate the same circuitry at rest, since acute (20 mg) or long-term (80 mg/d; 20 mg q.i.d. for 21 d) treatment reduced resting cerebral blood flow in various limbic structures, including the VS, AMY, and/or medial OFC (Franklin et al., 2011, 2012). Together, these results support the hypothesis that baclofen may modulate neural activity in the human brain in regions involved in drug-motivated behavior.

A large body of research has demonstrated that drugs and their conditioned cues elicit DA release in the VS and AMY (Ito et al., 2000; Weiss et al., 2000; Boileau et al., 2007; Fotros et al., 2013). Further, systemic administration of baclofen, and other GABA-modulating agents, attenuates both drug-induced (e.g., cocaine, nicotine, morphine; Fadda et al., 2003) and drug cue-induced (Gerasimov et al., 2001) DA release—presumably by activating GABAB receptors located on DA neurons in the ventral tegmental area of the midbrain. Given that baclofen modulates mesolimbic DA release in rodents, it is possible that the effects of baclofen on subliminal cue-induced activation noted here are related to baclofen-induced alterations in DA release. In support of this idea, DA release in response to visible drug cues occurs quite rapidly (i.e., <100 ms; Phillips et al., 2003) and could therefore mediate neural activity in response to subliminal drug cues. Further, medications that directly alter dopaminergic neurotransmission (e.g., haloperidol, levodopa) have recently been found to modulate mesolimbic activation induced by subliminally presented rewarding (e.g., sexual) stimuli (Oei et al., 2012). Although it is therefore likely that baclofen’s modulation of mesolimbic DA mediates the effects noted here, further exploration using techniques such as PET will be required to directly examine the neurochemical mechanisms involved.

The relative specificity of baclofen’s effects on the neural response to drug-related cues is largely consistent with animal studies documenting baclofen’s differential effects on appetitive motivation for drugs versus other rewards (e.g., food, sex). In rodent self-administration paradigms, for example, nonselfing doses of baclofen inhibited responding for cocaine, an index of drug-related motivation, but had less of an effect on responding for food (Roberts et al., 1996). Comparable doses of baclofen also had little to no effect on various indices of sexual motivation (Agmo and Soria, 1997). Similarly, in humans, baclofen has been found to dose-specifically reduce cocaine self-administration (Haney et al., 2006), but to have little to no effect on appetitive motivation for natural rewards (e.g., desire for food/sex; Denys et al., 1998; Jones et al., 2008). Our data provide additional evidence that baclofen, at 60 mg/d, may differentially affect motivational processing for drugs of abuse relative to other types of reinforcers: at the cluster-corrected threshold used for our planned analyses, baclofen reduced mesolimbic responses to cocaine cues, but had no effect on the response to sexual or aversive cues. However, as evidenced by our degree of specificity analyses that applied relaxed thresholds to these data, it is possible that baclofen and other DA modulators could—in other populations or at other doses—exert more generalized effects on motivational processing. This would not be surprising given the evidence that mesolimbic DA plays a role in various appetitive and aversive motivations (Faure et al., 2008).

When evaluating the current findings, there are several considerations that should be taken into account. First, the sample size was small and the population tested was homogeneous (cocaine-dependent males). To determine the generalizability of these initial findings, it will be important to replicate them in a larger sample and in other populations. Second, although we demonstrate that a baclofen dose of 60 mg/d is sufficient to prevent limbic activation to cues presented outside awareness, it is possible that higher doses may be required for the full clinical benefit of baclofen to be realized (Kahn et al., 2009). Finally, we examined the impact of baclofen versus placebo at a single time point. This design feature was chosen to ensure that we assessed the impact of baclofen on the very first exposure to cocaine cues, while avoiding the unknown and potentially confounding effects of prior, unreinforced exposure (e.g., extinction). This design depends heavily on randomization as a statistical control for potential differences in baseline cue reactivity. Fortunately, as shown in Table 1, there were no significant differences in demographic or drug use variables between the baclofen- and placebo-treated groups, minimizing the possibility that baseline differences influenced the current findings.

The results of this study have intriguing implications for the treatment of addiction. The field of relapse prevention has focused almost exclusively on strategies to counter conscious drug motivation—and relapse rates remain stubbornly high. However, motivational processes and goal pursuit can be generated—and even operate—entirely outside of awareness (Pessiglione et al., 2007; Bargh et al., 2012). Our findings provide the first evidence that a pharmacotherapy can impact unconscious drug motivational processing in humans. These findings highlight a novel mechanism by which pharmacotherapies, alone or in combination with existing psychosocial treatments, can prevent the earliest brain responses to drug cues and thus may provide a critical form of relapse protection for cue-vulnerable individuals.
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